




Funded by the Horizon 2020 programme
of the European Union



H^oTMAPS

www.hotmaps-project.eu





Hotmaps

Evaluation of future heat demand and supply with the Hotmaps toolbox: Case study for Donostia - San Sebastián methodology, input parameters, scenarios and sensitivities

David Schmidinger, Marcus Hummel, e-think
Jeton Hasani, TU Wien

Wien / Aalborg, 6-7.10.2020



Content

- (1) Motivation and research questions
- (2) Methodology
- (3) Results and conclusions
- (4) Heating roadmap



Funded by the Horizon 2020 programme
of the European Union

Section 1

Motivation and research questions



Motivation and research question

- Motivation
 - climate change
 - CO2 savings / neutral heat supply
- research question
 - What could the heat supply look like in 2050?
- Background:
 - Hotmaps project
 - The analyses within the framework of strategy development in hotmaps = demonstration of the newly developed hotmaps tool




Funded by the Horizon 2020 programme
of the European Union

Section 2

Methodology



Scenario Toolchain Hotmaps






Scenarios

<i>Main parameters varied</i>	<i>range of variation of parameter</i>
Energy demand for space heating and hot water generation	
target of savings in heat demand for space heating	10% - 60% in steps of 10%
Decentral heating supply costs	
savings in heat demand for space heating	10% - 60% in steps of 10%
District heating (DH) distribution costs	
savings in heat demand for space heating	30%, 40%, 50%, 60%
share of heat demand connected to DH in areas with DH grid	50%, 70%, 90%
maximum grid costs [EUR/MWh] in regions connected to DH	9 - 26 in steps of 1 EUR/MWh
District heating (DH) supply costs	
total heat demand supplied by DH	30, 100, 150 and 200 GWh/yr
capacities of installed technologies	4 different portfolia; see respective chapter for more details
electricity wholesale and CO ₂ prices	4 different prices scenarios, see chapter 4.3.5
supply line temperatures in the district heating grid	3 different temperature profiles from 55 - 86 °C (median Temperature)




Scenario Toolchain Hotmaps






costcurves



- Clustering:
 - 7 construction periods
 - 14 building categories
- 10 renovations
- interest rate 3%
- depreciation period 40 years




Scenario Toolchain Hotmaps







heat density maps




original



24%




39%



46%





Scenario Toolchain Hotmaps






Expansion of district heating






Expansion of district heating





Scenario Toolchain Hotmaps







District Heating dispatch

Portfolia	Installed capacity [Mw]	Yearly Supply [GWh/yr]
Portfolio 1: - Biogas back-pressure CHP - Waste incineration (HOB) - Biomass boiler for peak load	2.5, 2.6 20, 28 30, 40	150, 200
Portfolio 2: - Biogas back-pressure CHP - Waste incineration (HOB) - Heat Pump in the waste water treatment plant - Biomass boiler for peak load	2.5, 2.7 20, 28 8, 9.2 22, 30	150, 200
Portfolio 3: - Biogas back-pressure CHP - Waste incineration (HOB) - Heat Pump in the waste water treatment plant - Biomass boiler for peak load - Heat storage	3, 4.2 20, 28 8, 8 0, 1.8 28, 28	150, 200
Portfolio 4: - Biogas back-pressure CHP - Heat Pump in the waste water treatment plant - Biomass boiler for peak load	2.5 8 0.1	30


Portfolio 1 – 200 GWh



Portfolio 3 – 200 GWh/yr



Portfolio 4 – 30 GWh/yr






Funded by the Horizon 2020 programme
of the European Union

Section 3

Results and conclusions



Scenario Toolchain Hotmaps






Scenario Assessment

	Main scenario	Low savings of heat demand	High savings of heat demand	Low District Heating market share	High District Heating market share	Low District Heating share	Simplified District Heating Portfolio	High Electricity and CO2 price
	scenario 1	scenario 2	scenario 3	scenario 4	scenario 5	scenario 6	scenario 7	scenario 8
Savings in heat demand of the buildings	33%	24%	46%	= main	= main	= main	= main	= main
Decentral supply	default technology mix (heat pumps, electric heaters, solar thermal and biomass)	= main	= main	= main	= main	= main	= main	= main
District heating network	market share in district heating areas (MS) = 70%, yearly district heating demand (YD) = 200 GWh/yr	= main	= main	MS = 50%, YD = 200 GWh/yr	MS = 90%, YD = 200 GWh/yr	MS = 70%, YD = 30 GWh/yr	= main	= main
District heating supply	Portfolio 3, price scenario P3, distribution temperature T2	= main	= main	= main	= main	Portfolio 4, P3, T2	Portfolio 1, P3, T2	Portfolio 3, P4, T2




Scenario Assessment



	Main scenario	Low savings of heat demand	High savings of heat demand	Low District Heating market share	High District Heating market share	Low District Heating share	Simplified District Heating Portfolio	High Electricity and CO2 price
	scenario 1	scenario 2	scenario 3	scenario 4	scenario 5	scenario 6	scenario 7	scenario 8
Savings in heat demand of the buildings	33%	24%	46%	= main	= main	= main	= main	= main
Decentral supply	default technology mix (heat pumps, electric heaters, solar thermal and biomass)	= main	= main	= main	= main	= main	= main	= main
District heating network	market share in district heating areas (MS) = 70%, yearly district heating demand (YD) = 200 GWh/yr	= main	= main	MS = 50%, YD = 200 GWh/yr	MS = 90%, YD = 200 GWh/yr	MS = 70%, YD = 30 GWh/yr	= main	= main
District heating supply	Portfolio 3, price scenario P3, distribution temperature T2	= main	= main	= main	= main	Portfolio 4, P3, T2	Portfolio 1, P3, T2	Portfolio 3, P4, T2



Scenario Assessment



	Main scenario	Low savings of heat demand	High savings of heat demand	Low District Heating market share	High District Heating market share	Low District Heating share	Simplified District Heating Portfolio	High Electricity and CO2 price
	scenario 1	scenario 2	scenario 3	scenario 4	scenario 5	scenario 6	scenario 7	scenario 8
Savings in heat demand of the buildings	33%	24%	46%	= main	= main	= main	= main	= main
Decentral supply	default technology mix (heat pumps, electric heaters, solar thermal and biomass)	= main	= main	= main	= main	= main	= main	= main
District heating network	market share in district heating areas (MS) = 70%, yearly district heating demand (YD) = 200 GWh/yr	= main	= main	MS = 50%, YD = 200 GWh/yr	MS = 90%, YD = 200 GWh/yr	MS = 70%, YD = 30 GWh/yr	= main	= main
District heating supply	Portfolio 3, price scenario P3, distribution temperature T2	= main	= main	= main	= main	Portfolio 4, P3, T2	Portfolio 1, P3, T2	Portfolio 3, P4, T2



Results

Renovations:

- ◊ Savings of around 25 - 40% leads to smallest costs (between 40 and 74% of buildings gross floor area has to be renovated)

District Heating:

- ◊ with waste incineration: Großes Potential (40-60%)
- ◊ without waste incineration: around 10%
- ◊ marketshare has strong sensitivity



Funded by the Horizon 2020 programme
of the European Union

Section 4

Heating roadmap



Heating roadmap

- Further analysis of heat savings (occupation, Feasability studies, already renovated,...)
- Feasability study of using excess heat of waste incineration
- Development of step-by-step plan for transforming of individual to district heating



Funded by the Horizon 2020 programme
of the European Union



Contact

Zentrum f. Energiewirtschaft und Umwelt (e-think)

schmidinger@e-think.ac.at

www.hotmaps-project.eu