

70 years - 1950-2020

Demand-side management for reducing peakheating costs in a local low-temperature districtheating grid with waste-heat utilization

Brage Rugstad Knudsen^{1*}, Daniel Rohde¹, Harald Taxt Walnum² and Marius Bagle²

¹SINTEF Energy Research ²SINTEF Community

Correspondance: *brage.knudsen@sintef.no

Content

- 1. Case and problem description
- 2. Methodical approach
- 3. Results
- 4. Conclusions and future work



Case description: New building area in Trondheim, Norway

- New building area under development in Trondheim, Norway:
 - 139 000 m² BRA apartment buildings.
 - 42 000 m² BRA other buildings (office, commercial, school ++)
- Waste heat available from a nearby ice skating rink.
- A low/medium-temperature thermal grid (LTTG) is planned with either 40°C or 70°C distribution temperature¹.





Problem description

- Utilize local waste heat source; Large daily and seasonal variations.
- Remaining heat demand will be covered by the primary (conventional) DH network.

Challenge:

How does a new, connecting LTTG with local waste-heat utilization affect heat production in primary DH network, the production mix and heat-provision costs?





Methodology - concept

Considered control policies for residential building block:

- 1. Constant temperature 22°C in buildings.
- 2. Minimum energy use.
- Load shift demand side management (DSM) of space heating by means of price signal from DH operator.

Demand for hot water and space heating for nonresidential buildings are given.



Demand-side mangament: numerical approach

DH operator: Solve **economic dispatch** problem:

Buildings: MPC-based optimization of energy use for space heating in buildings:

$$\min \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} c_{jk} Q_{jk}^{\text{source}} \Delta_{k}$$

$$\text{Demand constraint}$$

$$\text{s.t. } Q_{k}^{\text{WH}} + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} Q_{jk}^{\text{source}} = \hat{Q}_{k}^{\text{sH-DSM}} + \hat{Q}_{k}^{\text{SH-fixed}} + \hat{Q}_{k}^{\text{DWH}}, \quad k \in \mathcal{K},$$

$$0 \leq Q_{jk}^{\text{source}} \leq \bar{Q}_{jk}^{\text{source}}, \quad k \in \mathcal{K}, \quad j \in \mathcal{J},$$

$$0 \leq Q_{jk+1}^{\text{source}} - Q_{jk}^{\text{source}} \leq R_{jk}^{\text{source}}, \quad k \in \mathcal{K} \setminus K, \quad j \in \mathcal{J}$$

$$Min\left[\sum_{k=1}^{N_{c}} (c_{k}^{var}u_{k} + \rho\delta_{k}) \Delta t\right]$$

$$\text{s.t. } u_{k+1} = Ax_{k} + Bu_{k} + Ed_{k} \quad \text{RC building model}$$

$$y_{k} = Cx_{k} \quad \text{model}$$

$$y_{k} - \delta_{k} \leq y_{k} \leq \bar{y}_{k} + \delta_{k} \quad \text{Comfort constraints}$$

$$\frac{u_{k}}{\delta_{k}} \leq 0 \quad \delta_{k} \geq 0$$

Price signal for DSM: dual variables of demand constraints (i.e. DH operator marginal cost) + fixed base price.

Evaluation procedure

Use waste heat, energy prices, temperature, and demand data for one year (2019).

Compare two scenarios:

- i. Remaining heat demand covered by given heat-production mix of primary DH network.
- ii. Remaining heat covered by electric boiler only.

Evaluate heat-production costs, energy consumption and max peak heating.



Primary DH production mix and local waste heat availability

Results: Waste heat + given generation mix of primary DH network

| Control policy | Building energy use (MWh) | Max peak (MW) | Variable DH operator costs (NOK) | Cost relative to T=22°C [%] |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| Constant T=22°C | 7702 | 3.4 | 231443 | - |
| Minimum energy | 7324 | 4.2 | 211670 | -8.5 |
| DSM | 7592 | 3.6 | 223441 | -3.5 |





SINTEF

Results: Waste heat + electric boiler only

| Control policy | Building energy use (MWh) | Max peak (MW) | Variable DH operator costs (NOK) | Cost relative to T=22°C [%] |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| Constant T=22°C | 7702 | 3.4 | 3073643 | - |
| Minimum energy | 7324 | 4.2 | 2906330 | -5.44 |
| DSM | 7582 | 5.3 | 3083597 | 0.32 |



Conclusions

- DSM shifts time of heating consumption: price signal must be carefully designed to achieve desired effect.
- The effect of building load shifting in LTTGs on DH costs depends highly on the prevailing production composition and connection to grid.
- In practice: **must include feedback to DH operator** for updating price signal (future work).

Acknowledgement: Funding from The Research Council of Norway under grant 280994 (Low-Temperature Thermal Grids with surplus heat utilization – LTTG+) and from the user partners is gratefully acknowledged.



TEF



----- **70 år** ------1950-2020

Teknologi for et bedre samfunn