

Raffaele Carli^a, Mariagrazia Dotoli^a*, Jan Jantzen^b, Michael Kristensen^b

^a Electrical and Information Engineering Dept., Politecnico di Bari, Italy ^b Samso Energy Academy, Samsø, Denmark

* email: mariagrazia.dotoli@poliba.it



DIPARTIMENTO DI INGEGNERIA ELETTRI E DELL'INFORMAZIONI

- Motivation and objectives
- Contribution and positioning wrt literature
- Problem statement
- Energy scheduling algorithms
- Simulation results and analysis
- Conclusions



Motivation and objectives

- Contribution and positioning wrt literature
- Problem statement
- Energy scheduling algorithms
- Simulation results and analysis
- Conclusions



Energy efficiency and environmental sustainability... ... requires efficient energy behaviors of all involved actors

> need of development of energy optimization algorithms to obtain responsive and proficient behaviors of microgrids

> > This motivates the effort in designing and developing ICT-based energy management tools

Objective

- Presenting a real-time strategy based on Model Predictive Control (MPC) for the energy scheduling of a grid-connected smart microgrid where consumers share energy production and storage
 - aiming at minimization of energy costs and maximization of the self-supply with renewable energy
 - using an iterative finite horizon on-line optimization based on MPC
 - taking into account time-varying buying/selling pricing of energy
 - formulating an optimal planning of shared resources, (i.e., storage system charge/discharge and renewable energy usage) and of energy exchange with grid

Acknowledgements

- The present work is developed in the framework of the «Smart Islands Energy System (SMILE)» H2020 project
- The end goal of the project is to foster the market introduction of smart grid technologies and demonstrate them in three islands
- Specific objective for the marina of Ballen, Samsø (Denmark):
 - To install a battery system to level out fluctuations in supply and demand, and to test it.
 - To install a photovoltaic power generation system covering 50% of heating demand in harbour master's office using renewable energy and new heat pump
 - To develop and test an overall control system, which allows for variable market prices (hourly spot prices).







- Motivation and objectives
- Contribution and positioning wrt literature
- Problem statement
- Energy scheduling algorithms
- Simulation results and analysis
- Conclusions



SES 2019; Copenhagen, 2019 September 10-11; Mariagrazia Dotoli (email: mariagrazia.dotoli@poliba.it) Energy Scheduling of a Smart District Microgrid with Shared Photovoltaic Panels and Storage: the case of the Ballen marina in Samsø

POLITECNICO DI BARI

Contribution and positioning wrt literature

- Typically the works on energy scheduling deal with traditional users, i.e., houses equipped <u>only with passive loads</u>
- The simultaneous presence of loads, generators and storage systems has never been considered in the integrated energy scheduling of a group of interconnected users (microgrid).



- The progress of this paper with respect to the cited literature:
 - 1. Optimal sharing by microgrid users of energy generation / storage resources to jointly take advantage of the collective locally produced / stored energy.
 - 2. Developing a comprehensive technique that simultaneously pursues the costoptimal planning of usage of shared <u>storage system</u> and production by the shared <u>energy generator</u>
 - 3. Presenting a case study on a real microgrid: a comparison of our proposed method with a naïve scheduling method is provided to validate the effectiveness of the approach considering the minimization of energy costs and/or maximization of the self-supply with renewable energy



- Motivation and objectives
- Contribution and positioning wrt literature
- Problem statement
- Energy scheduling algorithms
- Simulation results and analysis
- Conclusions





• Control inputs:

- Forecast data of RES (Renewable Energy Source) production
- Forecast of loads consumption
- Initial charge of BESS (Battery Energy Storage System)
- Control outputs:
 - Charging/discharging strategies of BESS
 - Profiles of energy to be bought/sold from/to the grid
- Scheduling time horizon:

 $\mathcal{H}(t) = [t+1, t+H].$

- Energy Management System
 - Controls the demand response of the microgrid
 - Allows the optimal and autonomous interaction between users, RES, BESS and distribution network

Scheme of energy flow and links



POLITECNIC

Problem statement

Loads consumption

• The cumulative consumption of loads is an *input parameter* of the scheduling problem:

$$b(t) = (b(t+1) \dots b(t+h) \dots b(t+H))$$



Renewable energy source

• The cumulative production of the renewable energy source is an *input parameter* of the scheduling problem:

$$\boldsymbol{r}(t) = (r(t+1), \dots, r(t+h), \dots, r(t+H))$$









SES 2019; Copenhagen, 2019 September 10-11; Mariagrazia Dotoli (email: mariagrazia.dotoli@poliba.it) Energy Scheduling of a Smart District Microgrid with Shared Photovoltaic Panels and Storage: the case of the Ballen marina in Samsø

Power

Power link

subject to:

Optimization problem for microgrid energy scheduling

a. minimizing operational costs:

 $\min_{\substack{x^{s+}(t), x^{s-}(t), x^{g+}(t), x^{g-}(t), \\ \delta^{s+}(t), \delta^{s-}(t), \delta^{g+}(t), \delta^{g-}(t)}} \sum_{h=1}^{H} (k^{+}(t+h)x^{g+}(t+h) - k^{-}(t+h)x^{g-}(t+h))$ (Pa)

subject to: all the previously defined constraints the objective function is the sum of the energy cost per slot

b. maximizing energy self-reliance, i.e., minimizing exchanges with grid (microgrid operates in islanded mode when possible, i.e., using only the internal energy produced by the microgrid and receiving the least power from the main grid)

$$\min_{\substack{x^{s+}(t), x^{g-}(t), x^{g+}(t), x^{g-}(t), \\ \delta^{s+}(t), \delta^{s-}(t), \delta^{g+}(t), \delta^{g-}(t)}} \sum_{h=1}^{H} |x^{g+}(t+h) - x^{g-}(t+h)|$$
(Pb)

the objective function is the sum of unsigned flows from/to main grid

all the previously defined constraints

 $|x^{g^{+}}(t+h) - x^{g^{-}}(t+h)|$ = $x^{g^{+}}(t+h) + x^{g^{-}}(t+h)$

mixed integer linear programming (MILP) problems

POLITECNIC DI BARI

- Motivation and objectives
- Contribution and positioning wrt literature
- Problem statement
- Energy scheduling algorithms
- Simulation results and analysis
- Conclusions





Energy Scheduling Algorithm

Naïve Scheduling Algorithm (Algorithm 1)

Repeat at each time step: IF RES production ≥ loads demand THEN IF BESS is not full THEN charge BESS ELSE sell to the grid END

ELSE

IF BESS is not empty THEN discharge BESS ELSE buy from the grid END

END

Simplest approach for determining time slot-by-time slot energy bought/sold from/to the grid and energy from/to the BESS

- selling to the grid is to be avoided, because the selling price is generally low, and it is better to use the RES to maximize the self-supply
- buying from the grid is unavoidable, because the RES production is too small to cover the total demand

Baseline strategy



POLITECNIC DI BARI

MPC-based Scheduling Algorithm (Algorithm 2)

- Main ingredients:
- 1 model;
- 2 (predictive) optimization problem;
- 3 receding horizon control policy
- Copes well with:
 - constraints on decision variables and internal variables
 - uncertainties (robustness with respect to a wide class of external disturbances)
- The control law is determined by resolving at each time step MILPs Pa or Pb for a finite horizon H
 - Objective function is iteratively updated and recomputed at each time slot by shifting the horizon in the future until the simulation end time
 - The decision variables of the first time slot are extracted from the MILP solution (whose results are given for H slots) and implemented with the receding horizon concept by repeating the MILP for the next horizon iteratively







- Motivation and objectives
- Contribution and positioning wrt literature
- Problem statement
- Energy scheduling algorithms
- Simulation results and analysis
- Conclusions





Ballen Marina Case Study

Scenario

- Results by the MPC-based algorithm are compared with the naïve method
- Period of analysis
 - one leap year (i.e., $t \in [0, T-1]$, where $T = 24 \cdot 366 = 8,784$)
- Scheduling horizon:
 - 1 day with *H* = 24 time slots (equal to 1 hour)
- Cost coefficients:
 - selling pricing -> the spot price on the Nord Pool electricity market (DK1 market) https://www.nordpoolgroup.com/Market-data1/Dayahead/Area-Prices/ALL1/Hourly/?view=table
 - buying pricing -> the spot price plus taxes and fees (estimated equal to 0.03 €/kWh or 0.07€/kWh)



Simulation results and analysis

Storage parameters

• 3 Lithium Ion battery racks:

TECHNICAL PROPERTIES OF SINGLE BATTERY RACK				
Battery chemistry	Li-ion NMC			
Power (charge/discharge)	30 kW standard, but can be up to 1C/70 kW			
Energy	79kWh			
Max. power (32 racks)	1.6 [MW]			
Max. energy (32 racks)	2.5 [MWh]			
Nominal capacity	106Ah			
Nominal voltage	700VDC			
Voltage range	610-806.4V DC			
Grid connection voltage	3 x 400V AC			
Charge/discharge temperature (cell)	0°C - 45°C			
Recommended ambient temperature	15°C -25°C			
Maximum parallel racks	32			
Battery system efficiency (typical/minimum)	97%/94%			
System Power density (gravimetric)	83W/kg			
System Energy density (gravimetric)	125Wh/kg			
System Energy density (volumetric)	11Wh/l			
Weight	800kg			
Dimensions indoor version	D655mm x W660mm x H1895mm			
Dimensions outdoor version	D705mm x W845cm x H2025mm			
Cooling	Forced air passive parallel cooling			
Developed according to standards for stationary energy storage systems: (To be certified)	CE UN38.3 ergy storage EN 62619 61439-1 EMC Immunity: 61000-6-2 EMC Emissions: 61000-6-4			
Protection class	IP 21 indoor version (To be confirmed)			
	IP 55 Outdoor version (To be confirmed)			



Rack 1 of the Samso BESS system



Simulation results and analysis

Loads consumption

- consumption of the marina, (onshore + offshore) - 2016
- 106,000 kWh over a year



https://plangreatly.com/dashboards/ballen/lweek.php

RES production

- measurements come from a Photovoltaic plant at 3 km from the Ballen marina - 2016
- 56,000 kWh over a year

RES production (kWh) 450.00 400.00 350.00 300.00 250.00 200.00 150.00 100.00 50.00 0.00 29 43 85 66 113 127 141 155 155 183 197 225 239 253 267 281 281 295 57 211 309 323 337 351

http://arkiv.energiinstituttet.dk/643/



- 3 metrics analyzed over the year (nr. of hours T in the year):
 - Energy cost (index to minimize)

$$EC_T = \sum_{\tau=1}^{I} (k^+(\tau) x^{g^+}(\tau) - k^-(\tau) x^{g^-}(\tau))$$

business economy (cost saving)

• Self-supply (index to maximize), in [0, 1] range

$$SS_T = 1 - \frac{\sum_{\tau=1}^T x^{g^-}(\tau)}{\sum_{\tau=1}^T r(\tau)}$$

in [0, 1] range

• Energy independence (index to maximize),

energy self-reliance (microgrid operates in islanded mode, i.e., it is operated with only the internal energy produced by the island itself, without receiving the power from the main grid)

DI BARI



Simulation results and analysis

Results

- lower cost, worse self-reliance
- (buying selling price) $1 -> \cos t = \sqrt{1}$

(yearly cost, self supply and energy independence)

Selling price = spot price; buying price = spot price + 0.03 €/kWh

		Algorithm 1 –		Algorithm 2a -	1	Algorithm 2b –
		naive		cost minimization		self-supply maximization
Energy cost	EC_T	€3,022.52		€2,816.12 (-6.83%)		€3,022.52 (+0.00%)
Self-supply	SS_T	89.89%		88.04% (-1.85%)		89.89% (+0.00%)
Energy-independence	EI_T	46.02%		45.97% <mark>(-0.05%)</mark>		46.02% (+0.00%)
Selling price = spot price; buying price = spot price + 0.07 €/kWh						
		Algorithm 1 – naïve		Algorithm 2a - cost minimization		Algorithm 2b – self-supply maximization
Energy cost	ECT	€5,321.45		€5,191.78 (-2.44%)		€5,321.45 (+0.00%)
Self-supply	SS_T	89.89%		88.26% (-1.63%)		89.89% (+0.00%)
Energy-independence	EI_T	46.02%		44.44% (-1.58%)		46.02% (+0.00%)

- identical performance of Pb with naïve algorithm
- no advantages in further charging storage with energy from grid

POLITECNIC DI BARI

- Motivation and objectives
- Contribution and positioning wrt literature
- Problem statement
- Energy scheduling algorithms
- Simulation results and analysis
- Conclusions





Conclusions

Contribution

- MPC-based energy scheduling approach for a grid-connected microgrid with RES and BESS
 - Framework: loads consumption, renewable energy generation and storage systems sharing
 - Simulations (based on a public real dataset) indicate approach allows ~90% exploitation of potential of local production and ~ 2÷7% cost saving wrt naïve scheduling

Outlooks

- Enhancing the scheduling considering:
 - Controllable loads (e.g., heat pumps can preheat or precool rooms in convenient time slots, sauna heater can preheat room at times when battery can afford it, and wastewater pump can be started at night)
 - Uncertainty (e.g. on renewable energy due to unreliable weather forecast) via stochastic or robust techniques
 - Integration of other terms into the objective function (e.g., a penalty term to capture user comfort, battery degradation costs) or trading-off between Alg. 2a and 2b in a multiobjective optimization

Work in progress:

R. Carli, M. Dotoli, J. Jantzen, M. Kristensen "Energy Scheduling of a Smart District Microgrid with Shared Photovoltaic Panels and Storage: the case of the Ballen marina in Samsø", to be submitted to "ESAAU 2019" <u>Special Issue</u> of *Energy – The International Journal*, Oct. 2019.



Raffaele Carli^a, Mariagrazia Dotoli^a*, Jan Jantzen^b, Michael Kristensen^b

^a Electrical and Information Engineering Dept., Politecnico di Bari, Italy ^b Samso Energy Academy, Samsø, Denmark

* email: mariagrazia.dotoli@poliba.it



DIPARTIMENTO DI INGEGNERIA ELETTRI E DELL'INFORMAZIONI