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Outline

District Heating can provide opportunities to develop farming in urban areas
Urban farming can create possibilities for DH in areas where heat demand is low.

◦ Hydroponic Farming
◦ Interest and investment in urban farming
◦ Case studies: how is urban farming being implemented
◦ Integration with 4th generation DH systems
◦ Models for building integrations
The costs of feeding urban populations

By 2050, approx. 70% of the world’s population will live in cities
- increased need for fresh food in urban populations

Transport of food long distances has environmental and nutritional costs
- vegetables lose 30% of nutritional content 3 days after harvest
- Sweden imports 50% of its food
  - accounts for 70% of total food production energy
  - The average plate of food has travelled 2400 km by the time you eat it

How do we move food production closer to population centres?
How do we increase food production for a growing population?
Hydroponic Farming

Growing plants without soil
- flowing or replenishing nutrient-rich water
- Advances in hydroponic farming and reduced cost of LED lighting has spurred on urban farming
- New methods always being developed
  - Aquaponics
  - Aeroponics
  - Anthroponics (pee-ponics)
Energy use of hydroponic farms

Low energy LED lighting
- Specify precise wavelength for growth
- Regulate day length for faster growth

Reduced water usage
- 80% less than conventional farming

No need for pesticides
- Behavioral controls and natural pests often sufficient

Vertical beds increase effective area

Low temperature requirements
- Typically 22 – 27°C
Benefits of hydroponic urban farming

Water Usage
Reduced transport
No pesticides used
Performed year round
Highly adaptable – able to work around seasonal changes
Alleviates farmland to return to ecological functions
Can increase greenery in recreational spaces
Comparisons to conventional farming

- Lettuce farming in Arizona, US.
  - Currently allocates 69% of freshwater to agriculture
  - Decreasing day-length can lead to many more harvests per year
  - Energy usage is higher especially when cooling is required
    - strong area for improvement
Costs of inner-city hydroponic farming

High overhead costs involved in being closer to urban areas

Many startups forced to move to larger facilities away from cities to grow

Need intelligent integration with existing buildings to make city farming more economically feasible
Investment in Urban Farming

Sweden’s increasing investment in urban farming shows increasing awareness

Malmö

Gothenburg

Stockholm

9.5M SEK investment
Integration in cities

While Swedish projects are getting off the ground, urban hydroponic farms have taken off around the world. This depends on how public and private space in cities can be utilised.

Increased integration of 4th gen DH systems opens new ways to integrate farming into cities.

Buehler & Junge, 2016
Case Study: **GRÖNSKA**

Grönska AB
- Winner of Venture Cup start-up competition 2015
- Currently supplying 7000 plants per month
- Expanding to 400 m² plantation
  - 64,000 plants per month

- Located in central Stockholm

LED lighting: 100-150W per m²
- Current compact design creates a heat excess
  - above required 24°C
Utilising wasted space in London

Located 30m underground in WWII bunkers below Clapham Common
  ◦ 2,200 m² unused growing space

Initial investment of £1.2M
  ◦ private investment and crowdfunding

Initiated with Michellin starred Chef

Just partnered with Marks & Spencer supermarket
Gotham Greens and Wholefoods

Run 4 rooftop farms in New York
- 52,000 sq m growing space in inner city
- Integrated with business as show-piece farms
- Use 20x less water than conventional farming

“from food miles to food footsteps”

Greenpoint, Brooklyn

Gowanus, Brooklyn
Corporate Interest

Pasona Corporation, Japan

Showpiece farm – example of aesthetic property of urban farming
Demonstrates how urban farming can improve recreational spaces
Supplies food for the company canteen – hyperlocal food
Integration with 4th generation DH

Urban Farming is at a developing stage
  ◦ cannot be treated as a normal heat consumer – complicated value chain

Low temperature requirements
  ◦ Can be used to reduce return temperature in the DH grid, increasing efficiency

Opportunity for increased biomass to feed into systems
  ◦ Possibility to store energy as pellets

High density farms can create excess heat and feed back into the system
Feeding back into the system

Excess heat production
- indoor farms with tight stacking can produce excess heat above the required 24°C
- Provides an opportunity for sharing heat back into the system or to adjoining buildings

Feedback into supply line to end of line buildings in low flow summer periods

Waste biomass
- becoming an increasing source of energy for DH systems
- Urban farming can create local biomass production

Energy storage
- Carbon pellet production via hydrothermal carbonisation

Henning & Gebremedhin 2012
**CO₂ re-pumping**

Increasing atmospheric Carbon dioxide can increase plant growth
- Method used by large scale greenhouse, e.g: Thanet Earth and DutchGreenhouses

Opportunity to re-route harmful CO₂ emissions
- Plants grown act as a carbon sink
Integration examples

Large-scale greenhouse hydroponic farming

Use CHP system – power station in each greenhouse (natural gas)

CO₂ pumped back into greenhouses to increase growth

Kent, UK
Plantagon

- Example of large-scale integration with DH
- Ambitious Agritechture projects
- Conceptualise building retro-fits.
  - residential, office and underground
Plantagon Linköping greenhouse

Construction begins late 2017
4,335 m² cultivation area
1,547 m² facility footprint
Partially heated with excess heat from surrounding buildings
Feeds back plant matter to biogas facility
Models for building integrations

How does it work on a smaller scale integration into cities

Can we take the lessons from Plantagon and apply them on a small scale?
Conclusions

Urban Farming can produce fresh food and improve recreational and work spaces in cities.

Doing so increases the quality of food and reduces the transport costs and energy.

Inner city farming faces obstacles of high overhead costs – efficiency is needed.
  ◦ Integration with DH can help optimise these costs
  ◦ Partnering with companies can create a mutually beneficial arrangement

Urban Farming has the possibility to feedback into the DH system and improve optimisation.

Integration depends on the local DH layout, the two need to develop together to find the best solution.
  ◦ Communication between developers and local actors
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