

HEAT SUPPLY TO LOW ENERGY BUILDING AREAS – MODELLING ECONOMICALLY OPTIMAL SOLUTIONS

Akram Sandvall Fakhri, **Erik O Ahlgren,** Tomas Ekvall* Chalmers Univ Tech * IVL

2nd Int Conf on Smart Energy Systems and 4th Generation District Heating Sep 26-29, 2016, Aalborg



Heating in Sweden

- Biomass (forest residues)
- MSW
- Excess heat
- Heat pumps (two scales)
- Rather small amounts of fossil fuels



Buildings heat supply

Why?



Buildings heat supply

- Large share of energy demand
- Scale impacts
- Strong focus on local, decentral generation (electricity)
- Long-term impacts on the entire energy system thus impacts our carbon mitigation strategies
- Policy relevant



Buildings heat supply

Strategic interest !

Three heat supply options -to NEW buillings

Individual

Each building has its own heat production device

On-site

Heat supply by a small local district heating (DH) system within the LEB area

Large heat network

Heat is produced in the DH system of nearby urban area and is transmitted to the LEB area by a transmission pipeline.

Three disctinctly different scales



Questions

O Which is the most cost-efficient heat supply option to NEW buildings from a societal point of view (under various conditions)?

✓ How do the various cost components of the longterm system cost compare between the three heating options?



Assumptions I

- New areas are built based on LEB standards

(LEB = low energy buildings)

- New LEB areas are built in, or in the vicinity of, urban areas







Method

- Systematic analysis
 - threshold for the most cost-efficient heat supply
- Based on hypothetical LEB areas and hypothetical DH systems, and the distance between them (d)
- Dynamic energy systems modelling
- Scenario analysis (450PPM, BAU)



Systematic analysis (scale effects)





Hypothetical LEB area

- LEB areas
 - One-family buildings area, plot ratio 0.15 (PR-1A)
 - Apartment building area, plot ratio 0.73 (PR-5A)
 - Large apartment building area, plot ratio 1.3 (PR-9A)
- PR (plot ratio) = heated area/land area (heating density)



Hypothetical LEB area inspired by Vallda Heberg





Area





Hypothetical DH systems

- Urban DH systems
 - Small (Kungsbacka) bio HOB
 - Medium (Linköping) bio CHP
 - Large (Göteborg) large bio CHP, industrial/MSW waste heat
- DH supply investment options available



Distances (d) between LEB area and DH system

-0-3 km (1 km steps)



Dynamic energy systems modelling

- Local TIMES two regions
- TIMES cost-minimising
 - MIP
- Long-term perspective (until 2050)
- Simulating approach (options tested one by one):
 - 1. Individual heat supply in the LEB area (i.e. individual)
 - 2. DH supply in the LEB area (i.e. on-site)
 - 3. Diff (DH supply in both the nearby town and LEB area -DH supply in the nearby town)



Assumptions II

- Heat supply represented in detail
 - Existing DH production capacity in the DH systems
 - New investment options in the DH systems and the LEB area (discrete investments)
 - Individual devices and plants: bio pellets boiler, geothermal heat pump, electric boiler
 - Low temperature DH (55/25 C) in the LEB areas.
- Electricity system, energy markets, biomass cost/price, climate policies and heat demand are included exogenously.
- Time resolution: Seasonal, Day-Night
- Inelastic heat demand



Scenarios based on IEA World Energy Outlook

- 450PPM:
 - Increasing CO2 cost
 - Increasing biomass prices (biomass market)
- BAU:
 - Slowly increasing CO2 cost
 - Biomass supply cost



Results



Ranking & threshold



Specefic system cost [€/GJ]



Breakdown of cost components

CHALMERS

PR-1A_450PPM



- Heat supply cost (investment & operation) (€/GJ)
- DH transmission cost (€/GJ)
- DH distribution (€/GJ)

CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

PR-1A_450PPM



PR-5A_450PPM



■ Heat supply cost (investment & operation) (€/GJ)

- DH transmission cost (€/GJ)
- DH distribution cost (€/GJ)

CHALMERS

PR-1A_450PPM



PR-9A_450PPM



■ Heat supply cost (investment & operation) (€/GJ)

DH transmission cost (€/GJ)

■ DH distribution cost (€/GJ)



Findings

- Large heat network option economically optimal
 - DH distribution and transmission costs account for large cost share
- Scales important
- Results rather robust with respect to climate policies



Next step

Climate impacts?



Thanks!





Technologies and Systems



Assumptions

	Unit	450PPM 2014/2020/2030/2040/2050	BAU 2014/2020/2030/2040/2050
Policy tools			
CO2 charge	€/tonne	16.9/25.2/68.4/110/153	16.9/14.4/23.8/33.5/43
Renewable electricity subsidy	€/MWh	20/20/0/0/0	20/20/0/0/0
Energy prices/costs ^a			
Natural gas	€/MWh	28.7/28.3/25.1/22/18.5	28.7/29.2/30.2/32/33
Fuel oil, light	€/MWh	64.2/64.7/61.8/58/54.9	64.2/66.2/70/75/80
Fuel oil, heavy	€/MWh	41.6/42/39.8/37.2/34.6	41.6/43.1/46/50/53.5
Coal	€/MWh	8.8/8.9/7.6/6/4	8.8/9.4/9.7/9.7/9.7
Bio-oil ^b	€/MWh	42/44.5/53.9/62.5/71.5	42/42.6/47.7/53.9/59.5
Wood chips ^c	€/MWh	20/20/20/40.5/55	20
Bio pellets	€/MWh	35/44/50/59/78	35/41/45/50/53
Excess heat ^d	€/MWh	0.56	0.56
MSW ^e	€/MWh	-14.5	-14.5
Electricity ^c Winter cold (1 month) Winter (2 months) Spring and fall (3 months) Summer (6 months)	€/MWh	55.2/62.9/98/122.2/74.4 54.3/61.4/93.2/122.2/74.4 51.3/57.9/73.1/80/74.4 51.3/64.2/73.1/80/74.4	55.2/54.6/63.8/72.5/80.9 54.3/53.7/62.1/70/77.6 51.3/50.8/57/60.8/67.5 51.3/50.8/63.2/61.4/67.8



Background

- EU Directives (2010 & 2012)
- National goal by 2050
- In 2015 the Boverket forecasted that 700,000 new homes are needed in ten years.
- Boverket has set standards and rules for the heat demand of new buildings.
- Construction of buildings with very low energy use is supported by the Swedish Energy Agency

 \rightarrow New areas are built based on LEB standards