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Goal of research

To analyze possible development scenarios for district heating company towards 4th generation district heating system by comparison of technological, economic and bioeconomy indicators. To evaluate barriers and restriction that limit long-term sustainable development of DH system.
Current Situation in District heating system in Latvia

Renewable energy share in DH sector, %

- 2010: 37, 12, 9
- 2011: 38, 12, 18
- 2012: 43, 17, 24
- 2013: 55, 24, 31
- 2014: 64, 26, 68
- 2015: 72, 12, 26

Heat energy share produced in CHP and boiler house

- 2010: 73, 12, 7
- 2011: 75, 12, 7
- 2012: 74, 24, 7
- 2013: 81, 12, 8
- 2014: 83, 12, 8
- 2015: 86, 12, 8

DH CHP  DH boiler houses  Total of DH
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## Case study - Fortum Jelgava

### Average production data in the last three years (2014 - 2016)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Produced heat, GWh per year</td>
<td>238.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Produced electricity, MWh per year</td>
<td>104.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooling losses, MWh per year</td>
<td>83950 (35% from produced heat)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution losses, %</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Scenarios description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DH system development scenarios description</th>
<th>Heat consumption decrease by end users (retrofitting of existing buildings), %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Base scenario (Sc1)</strong></td>
<td>Sc1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Base scenario plus Bio oil production integration to heat source (Sc2)</strong></td>
<td>Sc2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Additional heat consumption 39.6 GWh (31%)</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Base scenario plus adding of new consumers (Sc3)</strong></td>
<td>Sc3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Additional heat consumption 54.7 GWh (42%)</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Base scenario plus Bio oil production integration to heat source and adding of new consumers (Sc4)</strong></td>
<td>Sc4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Additional heat consumption 94.3 GWh (73%)</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Technological indicator improvement by different scenarios

Heat losses by condensing, percentage of produced heat %

Scenarios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sc1</th>
<th>Sc1A</th>
<th>Sc1B</th>
<th>Sc1C</th>
<th>Sc2</th>
<th>Sc2A</th>
<th>Sc2B</th>
<th>Sc2C</th>
<th>Sc3</th>
<th>Sc3A</th>
<th>Sc3B</th>
<th>Sc3C</th>
<th>Sc4</th>
<th>Sc4A</th>
<th>Sc4B</th>
<th>Sc4C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Evaluation of development scenarios by bioeconomy approach

\[
AD = \frac{\text{Pr} + \text{Sal} + \text{De}}{W}
\]

AD – added value, EUR/t;  
Pr – profit, EUR per year;  
Sal – salary, EUR per year;  
De – depreciation, EUR per year;  
W – used fuel, ton per year.
Which economic indicator is most important for sustainable development of DH?

Heat tariff $T$, €/MWh?

$$T = T_{\text{prod}} + T_{tr} + T_3$$

Production tariff $T_{\text{prod}}$, €/MWh

$$T_{\text{prod}} = (V C_R + F C_R)/Q_{\text{prod}}$$

Income of DH company $In$, € per year?

$$In = In_{\text{th}} + In_e = A_{\text{th}}T_{\text{th}} + A_eT_e$$

Profit of DH company, $Pr$ € per year or %?

$$Pr = In - Re$$

$T_{tr}$ – transmission and distribution tariffs, €/MWh;

$T_3$ – sales tariff, €/MWh;

$Q_{\text{prod}}$ – produced amount of heat, MWh;

$In_{\text{th}}, In_e$ - net income from thermal energy and electricity sale;

$A_{\text{th}}, A_e$ - amount of sold thermal energy and electricity; $T_{\text{th}}, T_e$ - heat tariff and electricity tariff;

$Re$ – net revenue, € per year
Comparison of heat tariff for different scenarios

![Graph showing comparison of heat tariff for different scenarios](image)

- Sc1 with Sc1A, Sc1B, Sc1C
- Heat tariff (by NG price 277.25 EUR/1000m3), EUR/MWh
- Heat tariff (by NG price 393.86 EUR/1000m3), EUR/MWh
- Potential scenarios of development of DH system

Scenarios:
- Sc1
- Sc2
- Sc3
- Sc4
- Base

Parameters:
- CHP heat losses by condensing, %
- Heat tariff, EUR/MWh
Comparison of heat tariff for different scenarios with different level of retrofitting by end users
Comparison cost of supplying heat and cost of heat saving

- Heat tariff for Sc1 with different level of retrofitting (Sc1A, Sc1B, Sc1C)
- Heat tariff for Sc2 with different level of retrofitting (Sc2A, Sc2B, Sc2C)
- Heat tariff for Sc3 with different level of retrofitting (Sc3A, Sc3B, Sc3C)
- Cost of heat savings
Comparison of DH company income for different scenarios

Heat tariff, EUR/MWh

T(Sc1)/T(Sc2) = 1.24

In(Sc2) / In(Sc1) = 2.08

Income by Sc1, Sc2, Sc3, Sc4

Sc1 with Sc1A, Sc1B, Sc1C
Sc2 with Sc2A, Sc2B, Sc2C
Sc3 with Sc3A, Sc3B, Sc3C
Income by Sc1 with Sc1A, Sc1B, Sc1C
Income by Sc1, Sc2, Sc3, Sc4
Conclusions

1. The analyzed DH development scenarios based on biomass using show that it needs balanced approach to technologic, economic, environmental and social responsibility issues to increase the competitiveness of DH company with benefits for all stakeholders and for moving DH towards 4GDH.

2. Research shows that by using bioeconomy approach it is possible to evaluate added value for all scenarios. Scenarios with production from new biomass products (bio oil) are a more sustainable solution which allows to increase added value twice from 58.1 €/t wood chips to 121.1 €/t.
Conclusions

3. Heat tariff is an important indicator, which combines efficiency of DH stages (heat source, distribution network, end users) all together. Reducing tariffs by improving DH's operation is not a sustainable solution for DH company because it reduces the company's revenue that decreases possibility to invest in next development.

4. In additional, reduction of heat tariff reduces the willingness to invest in the retrofitting of buildings and increases the time of reimbursement of the cost of these measures. The research shows that energy saving strategies are economically feasible only until 27% of decrease of heat consumption which cost of heat saving repayment is less than heat tariff. Such a small reduction of thermal energy consumption does not allow making qualitative retrofitting of buildings.
Conclusions

5. Optimal solution, which allows the DH transition to 4GDH, shows the best system design and minimizing DH system’s costs and optimal payment for heat energy for consumers.

6. Research shows that DH system should clearly concentrate their focus to development scenarios, which give possibility to raise income approximately 2 times.
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Current Situation in the Latvian District heating (2)

*Source: Central Statistical Bureau. www.csb.gov.lv*
Comparison of DH company profit and investment for retrofitting

Delta = 105 - 5 = 100 EUR/m²
Heat load curve

- Hot water
- Heating+hot water
- Heating+hot water+distribution losses
- Heat load

Cooling losses max load 17.4 MW
Different fuel prices

Price (without VAT):
- diesel 472 EUR/t
- propane gas 760 EUR/t
- Natural gas (2.group) 0.3581 EUR/m3
- Natural gas (5.group) 0.2961 EUR/m3
- Wood pellets 135 EUR/t
- Wood chips 8.50 EUR/bulk m3

* Taking into account modern combustion efficiency coefficient