2<sup>nd</sup> International Conference on Smart Energy Systems and 4th Generation District Heating Aalborg, 27-28 September 2016

# From HT-DHS to MT-DHS using MPC



Sam van der Zwan, Ivo Pothof (Deltares), Renske Kind, Paul Stoelinga, Roman Aalbers (Deerns), Chris Hellinga (Delft Uni Technology)

Ivo.Pothof@deltares.nl









AALBORG UNIVERSITY DENMARK



**ŤU** Delft

- 101 HEX
- Heat demand ~ 125.000 GJ

# The challenge



- Transform conventional DHS (120 80°C) to novel MT DHS (dyn. °C)
- Consortium
  - Deerns building physics, LEA
  - Deltares DH-network + control
  - Kuijpers installation contractor
  - Priva Hardware supplier



**Kuijpers** 







# Deltares



- Independent institute for applied research in the field of water, subsurface and infrastructure
- Motto: Enabling Delta Life, dare-to-share
- 800 employees, 28 nationalities
- 110 M€
- Expertise in this project
  - Hydraulics and control DH network, WANDA
  - RTC-Tools



# **RTC-Tools**

- Toolbox for Model-predictive control
- Designed for real-time applications
  - Robust, fast algorithms
- Open software



- Application (examples)
  - BPA (USA) 22 GW Hydropower production optimisation
  - CEMIG (Br) 30 GW hydropower optimisation + flood control
  - Waterboard NL: Optimal operation WWTP Garmerwolde
  - Waterboard NL: Optimal operation polder pumping stations



# WANDA



- Validated simulation platform for pipe hydraulics and control
- Design, commissioning, real-time performance monitoring
- Used as real-time verification







AALBORG UNIVERSITY Denmark



# Design approach

占古



# Design scenarios



- 1. Current situation: HT firing curve DH. Reference for comfort.
- 2. MT firing curve DH. No optimisation ; comfort < reference.
- 3. MT firing curve DH. Optimisation to meet comfort by raising temperature.
- 4. MT firing curve DH. Optimisation to meet comfort by extending startup period (pre-heating) and if necessary, raising temperature.
- 5. LT firing curve DH. Optimisation to meet comfort by extending start-up period (pre-heating) and raising temperature





### **Calibration LEA models**







#### Results







# Results



#### Without geothermal source

Scenario	CHP1 (hr)	CHP2 (hr)	Boiler 15 MW(hr)
Current	1725	1644	1850
Scenario 4	5536	3598	1093

#### With geothermal source

Scenario	CHP1 (hr)	CHP2 (hr)	Geothermal 5MW (hr)	Boiler 15 MW(hr)
Scenario 4	4 5536	3598	n/a	1093
Scen 4 + ge	eo 3290	2035	1185	606



# Modifications



- Central installation
  - Allow different temps/track
- DH primary network
  - All by-passes closed, HEX control  $\rightarrow$  return temp
- Model-predictive controller
  - Installed 1 track, with 3 buildings
  - Sets optimised hourly supply temperatures (1 day ahead)



### In operation since April 2016

rt the



#### Future work



- Drill geothermal source
- Expand MPC to 4 tracks
  - System wide peak shaving
  - Integrate building optimiser into MPC

